



U.S. Route 301 Corridor Study

Cultural Resources Planning Study Volume II

Prepared by
**University of Delaware
Center for Historic Architecture
and Engineering**

In association with
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

**The Division of Highways
Department of Transportation
State of Delaware**

**The Federal Highway Administration
U.S. Department of Transportation**

**A Cultural Resource Survey
of the Proposed Route 301 Corridor
New Castle County, Delaware**

by

Rebecca J. Siders

with

Dean Doerrfeld
Leslie Bashman
Susan M. Chase
Bernard L. Herman

Center for Historic Architecture & Engineering
College of Urban Affairs and Public Policy
University of Delaware
Newark, Delaware

June 1993

PREFACE

This report has been prepared by the University of Delaware Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Delaware Department of Transportation (DelDOT) in support of the Route 301 Corridor Location Study and the associated Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The Route 301 Corridor Location Study evaluates alternative routes for a multi-lane fully controlled access highway connecting Interstate Route 95 near Newark, with Maryland Route 301 west of Middletown. All build alternatives assume the Chesapeake and Delaware (C&D) Canal will be crossed at the existing Summit Bridge. Alternative routes range from approximately 16 to 20 miles in length. All alternatives include maximization of existing and proposed alternative transportation modes (such as bus transit) and transportation demand management (TDM) measures to the extent feasible and practicable.

The process of identifying potential highway corridors involves continual refinement and adjustment to minimize impacts and otherwise improve the corridor. In some cases, the adjustments have not been reflected in this technical report but instead are incorporated in the EIS, resulting in inconsistencies between this report and the EIS.

This volume is part of a two-volume report describing cultural resources in the Route 301 study area. Each volume reflects a different stage in the cultural resources analysis. The information contained in both was compiled and updated subsequently in the Draft EIS. Volume I of this report presents a preliminary survey of historic resources in the study area, identified through state Cultural Resource Survey (CRS) mapping, historic maps, and predictive modeling. Volume II presents a later, more detailed description of historic buildings that would be affected by the Route 301 corridor alternatives, reflecting preliminary field surveys along the corridors. Information concerning the identification number or status of resources may differ between the two volumes, because the studies were performed at different times and at different levels of detail. The inconsistencies have been resolved in the EIS.

The following Route 301 Technical Reports provide supporting documentation for the Draft EIS (DEIS) and Final EIS (FEIS):

- Corridor Needs and Rationale Report
- Alternatives Technical Report
- Air Quality and Noise Technical Report (includes 2 separate Appendices)
- Cultural Resources Technical Report (2 vols.)
- Farmlands Technical Report
- Geology, Soils, and Groundwater Technical Report
- Land Use and Socioeconomic Technical Report
- Public Involvement Technical Report
- Surface Water Hydrology, Water Quality and Aquatic Biota Technical Report
- Terrestrial Ecology Technical Report
- Transportation Technical Report
- Wetlands Technical Report (includes 1 separate Appendix)

These reports have been developed in close consultation with applicable county, state and federal agencies, and with the considerable benefit of ongoing public involvement.

The University of Delaware is committed to assuring equal opportunity to all persons and does not discriminate on the basis of race, creed, color, gender, age, religion, national origin, veteran or handicapped status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, activities, admissions, or employment practices as required by Title IX of the Educational Amendments of 1972, Executive Order 11246 as amended, 38 USC 4212 of the Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974, Section 503 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and other applicable statutes. Inquiries concerning Title IX, Section 503 compliance and information regarding campus accessibility and Title VI should be referred to the Affirmative Action Office, 307 Hullihen Hall (302) 831-2835.

CONTENTS

List of Tables and Figures **iv**

Preface **vi**

I. Introduction **1**

 Research Design **1**

II. Historic Preservation Planning in Delaware **7**

 General History of the Region **11**

III. Results of Survey **63**

Selected Bibliography **78**

Appendix A: List of All Surveyed Sites **80**

Appendix B: List of Potential Archaeological Sites Identified by Survey **90**

List of Tables and Figures

Figure 1: Regional Map of Project Study Area	2
Figure 2: Map of Study Area	3
Figure 3: Original Corridor Alternatives	4
Figure 4: Modified Corridor Alternatives Showing Cultural Resources	5
Figure 5: Geographic Zones in Delaware	10
Figure 6: Mrs. Brisbane House (N-6320)	15
Figure 7: R.G. Hayes House (N-5153)	16
Figure 8: Field Heirs House (N-105)	17
Figure 9: J. Cann Farm (N-3997)	19
Figure 10: A. Eliason House (N-413)	20
Figure 11: Summerton (N-112)	21
Figure 12: Rumsey Farm (N-113)	22
Figure 13: Cochran's Grange (N-117)	23
Figure 14: Hedgelawn (N-118)	24
Figure 15: Gibson & Derrickson Tenant House (U-291B)	26
Figure 16: DeShane-Paxon House (N-3988)	27
Figure 17: S. Brady House (N-5240)	28
Figure 18: Clarksdale Tenant House No. 3 (U-270)	29
Figure 19: J. Kanely Farm (N-5226)	34
Figure 20: B.F. Hansen Farm (N-5225)	35
Figure 21: A. Crockett Farm (N-5224)	36
Figure 22: C. Polk Estate (N-5221)	37
Figure 23: The Maples (N-106)	38
Figure 24: Rosedale (N-5148)	39
Figure 25: S. Holten Farm (N-107)	40
Figure 26: Armstrong-Walker House (N-5146)	41
Figure 27: Weston (N-121)	42
Figure 28: P.J. Lynch Farm (N-5238)	43
Figure 29: S.H. Rothwell Farm (N-5191)	44
Figure 30: Matlack Farm # 1 (U-126)	45
Figure 31: Matlack Farm # 2 (U-212)	46


I. Introduction

In 1991 Vanasse Hangen Brustlin (VHB) began an environmental impact study of the proposed Route 301 Corridor to determine the best alternative for the project under existing federal and state regulations. Identification of cultural resources, both standing structures and archaeological sites, is a required element of an environmental impact study. This report summarizes the results of a reconnaissance survey of standing historic structures and a preliminary determination of the potential eligibility of those resources for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places within the Route 301 Corridor study area, and more specifically within the vicinity of the proposed corridor alternatives. The project study area encompassed the geographic region extending from the Maryland state line on the west and I-95 on the north to Stanton at the northeast corner and Middletown as the southeast corner (Figures 1 and 2). Within this area VHB designed an initial series of corridor alternatives (Figure 3); these alternatives went through a number of adjustments during the course of the study. Reconnaissance survey fieldwork concentrated on the South Ridge, South Reconstruction, and South Modified Reconstruction alternatives south of the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal, and the North Reconstruction, North Eastern A, and North Eastern C alternatives north of the canal (Figure 4).

Research Design

This study consisted of several discrete parts including 1) an initial windshield survey to identify all of the sites within the first set of corridor alternatives; 2) a second reconnaissance level survey to obtain data on individual sites; 3) establishing preliminary determinations of eligibility for the resources not already listed on the National Register of Historic Places; and 4) development of historic contexts that might provide significance for the cultural resources.

A set of maps produced by the University of Delaware Center for Archaeological Research (UDCAR) through a subcontract with DelDOT provided the initial basis for the reconnaissance survey field work. UDCAR staff identified all potential archaeological or architectural cultural resources in the study area from the state's Cultural Resource Survey files and additional documentary sources, such as historic atlases. The annotated USGS maps produced by UDCAR included all sites previously surveyed by the Delaware Cultural Resource Survey (indicated on the maps with a number preceded by the letter "N") as well as a number of potential sites identified from historic records (indicated on the maps with a number preceded by the letter "U"). The process created two sets of maps—one for archaeological sites and one for architectural sites.



Source: Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.


Figure 1


Regional Map of Project Study Area


U.S. Route 301 Corridor Study

Delaware Department of Transportation

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.













Figure 2 Map of Study Area

U.S. Route 301 Corridor Study

Delaware Department of Transportation
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.









Figure 3

Original Corridor Alternatives

U.S. Route 301 Corridor Study

Delaware Department of Transportation

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.






Figure 4

Historic Resource Impacts

U.S. Route 301 Corridor Study

Delaware Department of Transportation

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

Beginning in January 1992 and working from the UDCAR maps, CHAE staff conducted a windshield survey of all historic standing structures, including bridges, located in the vicinity of the currently proposed corridor alternatives. No attempt was made to comprehensively survey the entire study area; instead the work focused on the established corridors. Field crews reviewed all sites on the maps that fell inside the limits of a proposed corridor, or that lay within approximately 500 feet of a corridor, for the presence of standing historic structures potentially eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places. The windshield survey checked for resources no longer in existence as well as for sites missed either by earlier Cultural Resource Surveys or in the documentary sources search.

Following the initial windshield survey, reconnaissance-level survey field work updated the Cultural Resource Survey forms for any sites determined to be potentially eligible for listing and facing potential impact from a proposed corridor alternative. CHAE staff used specific criteria to determine which of the impacted resources retained sufficient integrity to be potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. Previous highway studies, such as the one conducted for Route 896, had already made determinations of eligibility for some of the resources. In such cases, that determination was reviewed and accepted, except in one case where the earlier report indicated that further field work might change their eligibility statement. Criteria for eligibility focused primarily on architectural integrity. Any resource whose form or materials had been altered to the extent that the resource no longer represented the period of significance was determined ineligible. Such alteration could include extensive additions that changed the shape and form of the building, changes in materials of construction or architectural elements such as doors and windows, or demolition of the primary buildings in a complex. The existence of one of these factors by itself did not generally eliminate a resource altogether unless it completely altered the appearance of the building. Field workers also considered the integrity of setting for a resource, particularly elements such as historic landscapes and plantings and the presence of farm buildings or other outbuildings related to the period of significance. The results of the two surveys are contained in Appendix A, which itemizes survey number, property type, historic name, time period, related historic themes, USGS quad location, corridor impact, designation in the draft EIS, and a preliminary determination of eligibility for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places, for all sites investigated.

The final step in the study involved the identification of historic contexts that could provide significance for the surviving standing resources. Context research included the review of National Register nominations, earlier highway studies, and other secondary literature on the region, as well as recent historic context research carried out for the State Historic Preservation Office as part of the preservation planning process in Delaware. This research identified several distinct contexts for the study area, ranging from nineteenth-century agricultural development to twentieth-century rural residential building practices. These contexts are discussed more fully in the following chapter.

II. Historic Preservation Planning Process in Delaware

The *Delaware Comprehensive Historic Preservation Plan* (Delaware Plan) provides the basis for preservation planning within the state. The plan itself defines certain priorities and goals for preservation activities. The survey and historic context research for this study contributes to several of the priorities established by the Delaware Plan, including those related to threatened resources, historic landscapes, and specific historic contexts and context elements.

Threatened Resources

The Delaware Plan places a high priority on the identification and documentation of cultural and historic resources threatened by development pressure as well as abandonment and neglect. The proposed creation of a new corridor for Route 301 represents a specific threat to resources in the study area at several levels. While some resources may face the direct impact of demolition, the integrity of setting for many others could be affected by the location of the corridor. This survey addresses the concerns of the Delaware Plan by providing identification of resources threatened by the Route 301 corridor.

Historic Landscapes

Two categories of historic landscapes appear as high priorities in the Delaware Plan: emerging historic landscapes and disappearing historic landscapes. **Emerging landscapes** contain historic resources that have only recently become eligible for the National Register in terms of the 50-year age limit. Delaware contains an enormous number of cultural resources related to the first half of the twentieth century. Research and survey of these resources has only recently begun to be dealt with in a comprehensive manner. A significant number of the resources facing potential impact from Route 301 are related to this emerging historic landscape of the early twentieth century. **Disappearing landscapes** contain resources in a setting that is being destroyed so that the surrounding environment no longer represents the historic period of significance for the resources. This concept applies particularly to the agricultural landscapes vanishing every day due to development pressures. The proposed Route 301 Corridor represents a major impact on one of the few remaining historic agricultural landscapes in New Castle County.

Historic Context Elements

The Delaware Plan uses historic contexts as the cornerstone of its historic preservation planning. A historic context is defined as an "organizational format that groups information about related historic properties, based on theme, geographic limits, and chronological period."¹ The combination of these three elements defines a particular historic context, such as "Agricultural Tenancy in the Upper Peninsula

¹*Federal Register*, 9/29/83, p. 44716.

Zone, 1770-1880+/-." This framework provides a uniform method for evaluating historic resources as they relate to patterns in history and determining the significance of those resources on both an individual and comparative basis. The second part of the historic context framework is the concept of property types. A property type is a group of individual historic resources that share particular associative or physical characteristics. It is the property type that links the theoretical historic context to the actual historic resources being evaluated.

Historic Themes. The plan describes 18 historic themes rooted in social, cultural, and economic activities that would have resulted in the creation of various kinds of resources on the landscape of Delaware. The historic themes from the Delaware Plan are listed below.

- | | |
|----------------------------|--|
| 1. Agriculture | 10. Transportation & Communication |
| 2. Forestry | 11. Settlement Patterns & Demographic Changes |
| 3. Trapping & Hunting | 12. Architecture, Engineering, & Decorative Arts |
| 4. Mining & Quarrying | 13. Government |
| 5. Fishing & Oystering | 14. Religion |
| 6. Manufacturing | 15. Education |
| 7. Retailing & Wholesaling | 16. Community Organizations |
| 8. Finance | 17. Occupational Organizations |
| 9. Professional Services | 18. Major Families, Individuals, & Events |

The plan places a high priority on preservation activities related to the themes of Agriculture and Settlement Patterns & Demographic Changes, both of which represent important aspects of the resources in the Route 301 study area.

By far the most common historic theme illustrated by the historic resources in the study area is Agriculture with secondary themes of Architecture, Engineering, & Decorative Arts, and Major Families, Individuals, & Events. Primary crops in this area in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth century included feed crops (corn, hay, and oats), beef and dairy cattle, and wheat. In the mid to late nineteenth century, grains, butter, and dairy products continued to be major products along with peaches and other produce. The prosperity generated by these crops led to a wave of architectural renewal and rebuilding in the mid-nineteenth century. Many farms underwent extensive rebuilding--including the renovation of old buildings and the construction of new ones. Many of the farm buildings that survive on the landscape today date from this period. Dairy farming continued to be a major endeavor in the early twentieth century, and many of the large dairy barns in the study area date to that time period.

Many of the sites from the 1880-1940+/- time period are related to the historic theme of Settlement Patterns & Demographic Change, with Architecture, Engineering, & Decorative Arts as a secondary theme. These resources are primarily dwellings dating from the early twentieth century. Some are farm dwellings but others have no direct connection with the agricultural landscape, representing instead the early development of a proto-suburban rural landscape in New Castle County. There are also a small number of sites related to the historic themes of Education and Religion.

Chronological Periods. According to the Delaware Plan, the recognition of a series of time frames for the establishment of historic contexts must exist independent (yet cognizant) of benchmark historical periods defined by architectural styles and major events. Each set of dates is followed by the notation "+/-" indicating that chronological borders are neither rigid nor impenetrable; the dates approximate general historic and cultural trends both affecting and affected by Delaware's material history. The chronological framework seeks to regularize the period dates into roughly fifty-year blocks and to distill the cultural characterization of a given time period. The time periods and their characterizations are listed below.

- | | |
|-----------------|--|
| A. 1630-1730+/- | Exploration and Frontier Settlement |
| B. 1730-1770+/- | Intensified and Durable Occupation |
| C. 1770-1830+/- | Early Industrialization |
| D. 1830-1880+/- | Industrialization and Early Urbanization |
| E. 1880-1940+/- | Urbanization and Early Suburbanization |

The priorities in the Delaware Plan place an emphasis on preservation activities related to the three most recent time periods. Most of the sites impacted by this study are from the two most recent time periods-- 1830 to 1880+/- and 1880 to 1940+/- . Only a few resources date from 1730 to 1770+/- and 1770 to 1830+/-.

Geographic Zones. The geographic zones in the Delaware Plan are defined primarily by physiographic characteristics such as geology, drainage, soil types, and native flora and fauna. All of the resources and historic contexts discussed here are located in the Upper Peninsula Zone (Figure 5). The Upper Peninsula Zone is part of a larger geographical area known as the Atlantic Coastal Plain. With its level terrain, rich soils, and close proximity to the growing markets of Philadelphia and Baltimore, the zone became one of the most productive agricultural areas in the eastern United States during the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. The Delaware Plan places the Upper Peninsula Zone second in priority among the geographic zones, primarily because of the development pressures mounting in that vicinity.

The Upper Peninsula Zone stretches from Route 2, which roughly approximates the fall line, south through New Castle, Pencader, Red Lion, St. Georges, Appoquinimink, Blackbird, Duck Creek, Little Creek, Kenton, East Dover, West Dover, North Murderkill, South Murderkill, and Milford hundreds to the Sussex County line. Soils in this zone were generally well-suited for agriculture and the topography of the region ranged from level through gently rolling or sloping to steep. The zone contained many waterways, including both large creeks and small streams. Many of these waterways originally could be navigated to inland towns, but they have been subject to heavy erosion and silting over the past three centuries. The primary form of landuse has traditionally been agriculture focusing on small rural communities such as Glasgow and Middletown.




Figure 5: Geographic Zones in Delaware.
Source: Delaware Plan, p. 33.

Property Types. Based on existing research and survey, predictions can be made about the property types that will be found in the study area. For the most part, they will be agricultural complexes dating to the late eighteenth, nineteenth, and early twentieth centuries, and will include combinations of farm dwellings and outbuildings such as granaries, hay and dairy barns, corn cribs, and smoke houses. The other predominant property type will be early twentieth century rural housing such as bungalows and four-squares; this type will be found along primary transportation routes such as Route 896, Route 72, Old Baltimore Pike, and Route 40.

Historic Contexts for the Study Area. From the general historic themes of Agriculture, Settlement Patterns & Demographic Change, and Architecture, Engineering, & Decorative Arts, a number of more specific themes and historic contexts can be developed. Two thematic National Register nominations and two historic contexts provide significant information: **Dwellings of the Rural Elite (NR)**, **The Rebuilding of Saint Georges Hundred (NR)**, **Agricultural Tenancy in Central Delaware (context)**, and **Early Twentieth Century Rural Architecture (context)**. In addition to these four contexts, which have already been studied to some extent in this region, there are a number of resources related to four other contexts: **log building construction in central Delaware**, **early twentieth century farm planning**, **rural family development**, and **resources related to the African-American experience in Delaware**. Each of these historic contexts could be developed from resources in the study area that are potentially eligible for the National Register and face potential impact from the Route 301 Corridor.

General History of the Region²

The following is a brief history of the study area and its surrounding region, focusing on broad patterns in the state's development, especially in terms of the historic themes identified by the Delaware Plan. Organized by the chronological periods of the Delaware Plan, each section addresses general trends that occurred during that time and discusses in greater detail any specific historic contexts related to the surviving historic resources in the study area.

1630-1730+/-: Exploration and Frontier Settlement

Three phases of settlement can be identified in this period: initial scattered multi-ethnic European occupation; intensive occupation resulting from William Penn's promotional efforts; and the separation of the three lower colonies from Pennsylvania as an independent colony. Very little settlement occurred in the Upper Peninsula Zone prior to 1680. Most settlement up to that time concentrated primarily on the coastline in the vicinity of New Castle and present-day Wilmington and was carried out by settlers from

²This section is adapted from volume II of the Delaware Plan, *The Historic Context Master Reference and Summary*, Herman and Siders, 1989, pp. 19-37.

Sweden, Finland, Holland, and England. Settlement patterns were nonnucleated with the exception of early fortified sites near New Castle and unplanned line towns and riverside ports along established transportation routes. From 1680 through 1730 British colonists represented the majority of new settlers, along with a substantial group of Welsh who emigrated to the area just south of present-day Newark. The earlier ethnic subgroups found themselves rapidly acculturated into the larger English population.

A shift toward stable and somewhat self-sufficient agriculture occurred with the advent of William Penn's successful colonization program. After about 1680 agriculture quickly established a pattern of cereals and livestock as the primary income-producing activities on the farm. Wildly fluctuating prices in the trans-Atlantic tobacco market did not affect early settlements in this region. The soil proved very productive for farming and was greatly sought after by Maryland farmers who wanted additional land.

Initial building technologies were impermanent (earthfast) in nature and reflected the rationalization of a variety of Old World practices. By 1700 substantial durable housing projects were undertaken. The first durable houses reflected the appearance of building styles and technologies associated more closely with local developments than European antecedents. Farm buildings remained impermanent in construction.

1730-1770 +/-: Intensified and Durable Occupation

During this period efforts toward settlement in the Upper Peninsula Zone greatly intensified. Old villages developed into towns, and new towns were carefully planned in areas that could be reached by the ships that served the Atlantic trade routes. This was a critical time in the demographic history of the area. As acculturation undermined ethnic diversity, a growing sense of an economically scaled social class system came into play. In the 1740s Peter Kalm remarked on the near total loss of Scandinavian culture in the lower Delaware Valley. At the same time stair-passage plan dwellings were first commissioned by individuals involved in defining new sets of market-oriented commercial relationships. An approximation of membership in a stratified class system based on wealth and occupation might be as follows:

Upper:	millowners, merchants, ministers, landholders (large tracts or multiple farms)
Middle:	artisans, farmers, shopkeepers
Lower:	tenant farmers
Even Lower:	laborers
Lowest:	slaves

This development was felt most keenly around emerging towns such as New Castle, Port Penn, Odessa, Smyrna, and Dover. These developments corresponded to the prosperity of the wheat trade, milling, and shipping along the navigable stretches of middle Delaware.

Initial large land-holdings were increasingly subdivided into smaller owner-occupied and tenant farms. The large tracts of land originally granted to Maryland and Virginia landowners began to be broken up into smaller parcels farmed by the actual owners or their tenants. These farmers were now clearing a greater proportion of their land for crop farming, leaving less in woodlot and pasture. Many farms

produced a surplus of crops for market sale, chiefly wheat and Indian corn. Some of this surplus supplied towns such as Dover, whose population swelled considerably when the county government met; the remainder was shipped to the urban centers of Wilmington, Philadelphia, and Baltimore.

During this period, rural industries such as mills and tanneries appeared and flourished. Although the zone remained colonial in character, economic and material dependence on European support systems for basic survival needs diminished. Income derived from the export of wheat, flour, leather, and butter provided capital to fuel a new consumerism. Merchants imported glass, ceramics, textiles, and other foreign products for local sale. Increased capital also fueled new building projects, accelerating the turnover to durable buildings and the first acceptance of Georgian (stair-passage) house plans. The number of buildings constructed per farmstead was slowly beginning to increase. In this period several significant public buildings were commissioned, including a number of churches and governmental structures.

1770-1830 +/-: Early Industrialization

This period witnessed the advent of agricultural reform and experimentation resulting in new systems of crop rotation and field patterns. Landowners became more concerned with the productivity of their soil. They formed the New Castle County Agricultural Society in 1819 and began to experiment with ways to increase their crop yields. This activity would eventually result in the highest level of wheat and dairy product yields in the state. The agricultural landscape began to exhibit the complex five- to nine-unit field patterns developed by scientific farming. Rural industrial sites, such as mills and tanneries, became more local in their market orientation; village industries continued with a greater regional orientation.

The major change in the zone in this period was the growth of the Dover area following the transfer of the state government from New Castle to Dover in 1777. This period witnessed a gradual population increase into the early 1800s, but after 1810 the population in some neighborhoods began to decline. Some areas, such as St. Georges Hundred, showed a marked decrease in population from 1800 to 1830 before beginning a dramatic rise. The population of the region remained predominantly Anglo-American and Afro-American; families with other European origins were rapidly assimilated. Economic developments fueled the growing concern with rural and village class order and it was during this period that a dominant new class of farmers emerged. These "new" farmers formulated the tenets of scientific agriculture, contractual labor relations, industrialization of the farm, and the transformation of household organization. Typically they owned more than one farm (sometimes more than twenty), urban or village property, and held investments in various speculative endeavors including transportation, banking, and manufacturing issues. Owners and tillers of small farms also contrived to work the land. The rate of tenancy increased; slavery waned.

The Delaware Orphans Court records for this period indicate that Duck Creek and Dover hundreds had by far the highest proportion of brick housing of any area in the state. At one point, one in four

houses was brick. This is also the period when service wings began to be incorporated into the main blocks of dwellings. The construction of new, more specialized horse barns, combination farm buildings, and other agricultural outbuildings reflected the growing industrialization of scientific farming. As an era of architectural renewal began at the close of the period, new houses were constructed and older buildings extensively remodeled in both the oldest settled and most productive agricultural areas.

A more reliable network of roads developed in this period to connect Dover with the rest of the state. Many of the rivers used for the transportation of goods and people in the 1770s and 1780s began silting in very heavily by the 1830s. This led to greater dependence on roads. The Chesapeake and Delaware Canal opened in 1829, linking the Delaware River and Bay with the Chesapeake Bay.

Log Building Construction in Central Delaware. Although the majority of the resources that survive on the architectural landscape of Delaware today are constructed of brick, frame, or stone, documentary evidence such as tax assessments and orphans court valuations indicate that in the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, the predominant building material used for both dwellings and outbuildings was log. Surviving log buildings are rare in Delaware and our knowledge of log construction methods is enhanced by each new discovery. A thematic nomination drawing together the known surviving log dwellings and outbuildings to provide a comprehensive discussion of construction techniques and details, as well as illustrating living space and conditions, would provide great insight into the living conditions of ordinary people in Delaware in the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. At least three of the properties impacted by the South Ridge, South Reconstruction, and South Modified Reconstruction alternatives contain dwellings whose core was of log construction and would make significant contributions to such a nomination.

The Brisbane House (N-6320) represents a rare survival of a log dwelling that was originally a 1-story, 2-room plan house (Figure 6). The building was later raised another story in log. The existing fabric of the house preserves its construction history. The R.G. Hayes House (N-5153) is another 1 1/2-story, 2-room plan log house that survives in the study area (Figure 7). Original construction of the dwelling dates to circa 1800 and a corner post frame addition was attached to the house in the early nineteenth century. The Fields Heirs House (N-105), already listed on the National Register, is scheduled for demolition to make way for commercial development just outside of Middletown (Figure 8). The dwelling is partially of log construction and should be documented in greater detail with measured drawings and photography before it is demolished. In this way, the information from the building could still contribute to a thematic nomination on log buildings even though the dwelling itself could not be nominated. These three resources, along with the log granary at Achmester (N-3930) would be essential to include in any thematic nomination dealing with log building construction in Delaware.




Figure 6: Mrs. Brisbane House (N-6320), located on the north side of Bethel Church Road, west of Route 896.



Figure 7: R.G. Hayes House (N-5153), located on the east side of Route 896/301, north of Middletown.



Figure 8: Fields Heirs House (N-105), located on the west side of Route 301, north of the intersection with Main Street, Middletown.

Dwellings of the Rural Elite in Central Delaware, 1770-1830 +/-³ The architectural development of Delaware's Upper Peninsula Zone from 1770 through 1830 was strongly influenced by a period of intensive building activity that resulted in increased numbers of durable houses. A significant component of this new architectural landscape was made up of the houses of the rural elite--individuals who were among the wealthiest 20 percent of the taxable population, owned land, and were engaged in a market-based extensive agricultural economy. They also tended to promote several new concepts: the privatization of the countryside--through forms of enclosure; the industrialization of agriculture--through their commitment to agricultural reform and scientific farming; the regulation of the rural economy--through the control of labor and tenancy; and the capitalization of farming--through agricultural machinery, farm buildings, and livestock. The dwellings of the rural elite symbolized their self-perceived status within the communities they occupied.

The dwellings of the rural elite represent a distinctive property type generally sharing a number of architectural features. Because the property type is associated with a particular socio-economic group there are notable exceptions to the general rule. The most common form of dwelling associated with the rural elite of the Upper Peninsula Zone in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries is a two-story house, often of brick construction and laid out on a stair-passage (most often center-passage) plan. The interiors of these dwellings are typically fitted with paneled fireplace walls or mantles, open stairways with turned balusters and newels, and an internally consistent hierarchy of finishes signifying the relative importance of rooms within the house. Because the dwellings of the rural elite are recognized as expressions of social and economic status, there are non-architectural features that define the property type. In the period of greatest significance, the dwellings are associated with, and occupied by, individuals ranking in the top two deciles of assessed wealth within their hundred. Furthermore, these individuals are land owners, most owning multiple farms, who are engaged in extensive commercial relations and invest in agricultural improvement and the early industrialization of agriculture.

Written in 1989, this thematic nomination resulted in the listing of ten dwellings. A list of additional dwellings that were already listed on or determined eligible for the National Register and were potentially related to the theme was included in the text of the nomination. Several of the buildings on that list are located within the Route 301 Corridor study area and face a potential impact from the proposed corridors. They include Cann Farm (N-3997), Eliason House (N-413), Summerton (N-112), Rumsey Farm (N-113), Cochran's Grange (N-117), Hedgelawn (N-118), and Cochran Farm (N-5149). All of these sites possess dwellings and complexes of outbuildings with features that would make them potentially eligible for nomination within this theme (Figures 9-14). The dwelling at Bellvue (N-3975) has been demolished but the existing outbuildings may still provide valuable information for this theme.

³See Max Van Balgooy, Bernard Herman, Rebecca Siders, and Gabrielle Lanier, *Dwellings of the Rural Elite in Central Delaware, 1770-1830+/-*, Newark, Delaware: Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1989.



Figure 9: J. Cann Farm (N-3997), located on the west side of Old Route 896, south of Glasgow.



Figure 10: A. Eliason House (N-413), located on the east side of Route 896, north of Boyds Corner Road.



Figure 11: Summerton (N-112), located on the south side of Route 301, west of Levels Road.



Figure 12: Rumsey Farm (N-113), located on the north side of Route 301, across from Summerton.




Figure 13: Cochran's Grange (N-117), located on the south side of Route 301, east of Levels Road.




Figure 14: Hedgelawn (N-118), located on the south side of Route 301, east of Levels Road.

Agricultural Tenancy in Central Delaware, 1770-1900.⁴ Between 1770 and 1900, tenants occupied at least half of the farms in central Delaware at any given time. Not only did tenancy represent an accepted and respected economic alternative, but tenants in many areas fared better financially than did their owner-occupant neighbors. Tenants and tenant farms reflected a cross-section of the population and landscape of central Delaware. Agricultural tenancy played a major role in shaping the eighteenth-century rural landscape and in the revival of the agricultural economy of the region in the nineteenth century. Tenancy provided one of several solutions to the restoration of the depleted and exhausted soils of the early nineteenth century and the farm labor shortages. Through lease-stipulated improvements (such as fertilizing with lime or guano, crop rotation, and ditching and draining for land reclamation), landlords saw the productivity of their land begin to return. Tenants invested their profits in livestock, particularly horses and oxen to be used as a means of production. Production and capitalization represent two key elements in the agricultural tenancy context. While acquiring one's own land remained a priority for residents of central Delaware, many found that the land they could tenant came in larger, more productive parcels than the land they could buy. This was particularly true for African-Americans. Thus, tenancy provided a form of access to limited resources. From the late eighteenth through the nineteenth century, tenancy was an accepted and usually mutually profitable method of agricultural land management for residents and landowners in central Delaware.

While there were some cases where dwellings were built specifically for farm managers and tenants, the overwhelming pattern in the late eighteenth and first half of the nineteenth centuries was that any farm could become a tenant-occupied farm for any one of a number of reasons. Some tenants lived in two-story brick houses with large complexes of outbuildings; others lived in one-story, single-room plan log dwellings with no outbuildings at all. There was no reliable way to predict whether a farm might become tenant-occupied in the course of its history but the chances were that at some point it would do so. The historic context for the theme of agricultural tenancy demonstrates that most of the farms in the study area had at least a 50/50 chance of having been tenant farms at some time.

The primary method for determining whether a resource is related to the agricultural tenancy context is through documentary research that locates a clear reference to the property as a tenant farm. Some of the resources impacted by the proposed corridor alternatives have already been identified as tenant-occupied farms or dwellings. They include Fields Heirs Farm (N-105), Gibson & Derrickson Tenant House (U-291B), the DeShane-Paxon House (N-3988), the S. Brady House (N-5240), and the Clarksdale Tenant House No.3 (U-270) (Figures 8, 15-18). Many of the other farms in the study area may also be related to this context but a positive determination cannot be made without further documentary research. The Fields Heirs Farm is already slated for demolition but no intensive-level documentation has been

⁴ See Rebecca Siders et al, *Agricultural Tenancy in Central Delaware, 1770-1900: A Historic Context*, Newark, Delaware: Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1991.



Figure 15: Gibson & Derrickson Tenant House (U-291B), located north of Route 301 near intersection with Main Street, Middletown. This building faced probable demolition for the current relocation of Route 301 at the time of this report.



Figure 16: DeShane-Paxon House (N-3988), located on the east side of Route 896, north of Howell School Road.



Figure 17: S. Brady House (N-5240), located on the west side of Route 896, south of Old School House Road.



Figure 18: Clarksdale Tenant House No. 3 (U-270), located on the west side of Route 896 at the entrance to the Pencader Corporate Center.

completed to date. This site is particularly significant due to its association with two other thematic contexts (Rebuilding and Log Construction) and intensive-level documentation would be strongly recommended. U-291B also faces demolition to make way for proposed development. This site has a main house probably dating to the late nineteenth or early twentieth century with a secondary dwelling on the same property, slightly removed from the main house, that was probably a tenant house. Again, we would recommend intensive documentation. The DeShane-Paxon House (N-3988) was previously evaluated for the study of Route 896 north of the Summit Bridge and was determined to be ineligible for nomination based on a lack of architectural integrity; we would argue that since the property was identified in that study as having potentially been occupied by tenant "farm managers," it may be significant under the tenancy context and would recommend further intensive documentation. The Clarksdale Tenant House No. 3 (U-270) was also previously evaluated for the study of Route 896 north of the Summit Bridge and was determined to be ineligible for nomination based on an apparent loss of integrity and loss of agricultural context. No examination of the interior of the building was made and the report states that this property was probably the least altered of the three tenant houses belonging to the Clark family and evaluated in the Route 896 study. If the interior is unaltered, there is much that can be learned from intensive-level documentation of the site. Due to its loss of agricultural context, the exterior setting of the dwelling is no longer significant.

1830-1880 +/-: Industrialization and Early Urbanization

The middle decades of the nineteenth century witnessed the rise of agricultural reform, regional marketing, architectural renewal, and a capitalist rural economy. During this period, architecturally and economically, the Upper Peninsula Zone was redefined as the Wilmington back country and assumed the first characteristics of a proto-suburban rural landscape. Steadily rising land values and returns on agricultural products reinforced the pattern of village growth and the reconfiguration of rural settlement through the 1860s. Although land values and farm income declined dramatically in the 1870s, the old settlement patterns remained intact.

During this period agriculture in the Upper Peninsula Zone appeared in two distinct forms: the northern grain region and the southern mixed-farming region. The study area falls within the northern grain region, an area with level land and well-drained, productive soil. The farms were large compared to the rest of the state, cultivating an average of three times more acreage per farm than the other regions (about 150 acres). Primary crops consisted of corn and wheat, produced in the highest volume per acre in the state. In addition, these farmers produced a great many dairy products, again more than anywhere else in the state. In essence, this region held the state's first modern market-profit farms. Some of the early agricultural success of this area can be attributed to the opening of the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal in 1829 and the construction in the 1850s of a north-south rail link from Wilmington down through Middletown, west Smyrna, and Dover. These new methods of transporting produce to the major markets

affected the grain region much earlier than the southern parts of the state.

In the later part of the time period the peach industry flourished, creating fortunes for many farmers in the northern part of the zone. The railroad allowed quick and easy transportation of this perishable crop to the large urban markets. It was during the later part of this period that many of the so-called "peach mansions" were constructed out of the fortunes made by many farmers from the peach market. By the 1870s economic decline in the rural markets set in due to major national shifts in grain production and the relocation of the milling industry to the upper midwest; Delaware farmers diversified in order to survive.

The construction of the railroad in the 1850s provided easier, faster access to urban markets, as well as the economic spark for the creation of new towns like Clayton, Townsend, Felton, and Harrington. At the same time, traffic on the canal intensified, and the towns of Delaware City, St. Georges, and Summit grew rapidly. Newer ports at Port Penn, Odessa, Leipsic, and Little Creek diminished in economic significance and became feeders for a larger coastal and railroad trade network. By 1880 village development around new transportation points increased substantially.

The overall population in the region rose dramatically in this period. While growth reflected in new architecture was concentrated in developing towns, there were also significant increases in the rural population. Starting in the 1830s the population in the northern part of the zone began to increase at a very rapid rate and continued to do so through 1880. The reorganization of rural society developing in the previous era solidified in the middle decades of the 1800s. The gentleman farmer/scientific agriculturalist evolved into the agrarian capitalist. In areas with rich farm land, the economic and social power of the landed few produced tenancy rates as high as 80 percent. With the opening of the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal in 1829 and the arrival of the railroad in the 1850s, the economic pressure on landholders intensified along transportation routes such as Route 896. The result was the intensive settlement of poor and even marginal farmlands in areas such as the Forest of Appoquinimink. At the same time, the unlanded population--both black and white--began to be concentrated at the edges of towns or along "waste" areas such as New Discovery.

The Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred, 1850-1880+/-⁵ Through the middle decades of the nineteenth century, St. Georges Hundred was the scene of extensive improvements in farming and architectural design. The drive toward agricultural reform (which began in the first quarter of the century) was allied closely with contemporary attitudes toward the purpose and appearance of rural architecture. Over an extended period of 40 years nearly every house and farm building was subject to what locals referred to as "repairs and renewals." The self-described goal of St. Georges Hundred farmers was to realize the dream of an estate. The aggressiveness with which they pursued that ambition led to

⁵See Bernard Herman et al, *The Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred, New Castle County, Delaware: A National Register Nomination*, Newark, Delaware: Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1986.

the transformation of local agricultural practice and architectural design.

The emerging consensus among scholars is that rebuilding cycles are actually part of broader historical building patterns, and that a direct correlation can be established between agricultural, economic, and social forces, and architectural transformations. The term "rebuilding" is used here because that is literally what took place in mid-nineteenth century St. Georges Hundred. In this period agricultural practice, social organization, images of domestic order, and the structure of regional economic systems were reconsidered and reformed. The most visible result was a new architecture involving the extensive alteration of old houses, redevelopment of established sites, development of new sites, and even reworking of new buildings.

Historically, the rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred has its origins in the early nineteenth century. Through the eighteenth century and into the first two decades of the nineteenth century, building activity in St. Georges Hundred moved through a series of building cycles. These cycles can be read as generally consecutive while having substantial periods of overlap. In order, they are 1) impermanent architecture; 2) durable housing beginning as early as the close of the first generation of permanent English settlement; 3) the introduction of stair-passage plan types in the late 1740s; and 4) by the end of the eighteenth century, the pattern of separate kitchen buildings beginning to be replaced by the custom of incorporating kitchens onto the secondary and tertiary elevations of the main house as service wings or ells. By the third quarter of the eighteenth century architectural traditions of southern New Castle County in general, and St. Georges Hundred in particular, had been fully developed. At the time of the 1816 tax assessment, the majority of buildings in St. Georges Hundred were built of wood. Of the 567 taxables, only 30 percent owned land. The lack of owner-occupied housing for two-thirds of the population is significant. Almost 400 taxable individuals and their families were living in housing provided for them on other people's land. Some of these dwellings were on out plantations, but the majority seem to have been grouped around crossroads, in villages, or in close proximity to the owners' dwellings. The types of buildings these folk occupied were typically hall or hall-parlor dwellings with separate outbuildings containing the cooking functions of the household and quarters for the servants. There were, of course, the houses of the wealthy which incorporated fashionable stair-passage plans and attached service wings.

This thematic nomination contains buildings that illustrate the end of a historic period defined in agricultural and architectural terms. The patterns described in this nomination are not unique in American, Canadian, or European history, but the intensity with which they were manifested in St. Georges Hundred is remarkable. In the rebuilding period we find not only the roots of American agribusiness, but also the drive to monumentalize an American landscape through the vernacular architecture of a single community.

Individually, at least 20 of the farms located in corridor alternatives south of the C & D Canal are eligible, or potentially eligible, for nomination to the National Register under the criteria of the existing thematic nomination *The Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred*. They include J. Kanely Farm (N-5226), B.F.

Hansen Farm (N-5225), A. Crockett Farm (N-5224), C. Polk Estate (N-5221), The Maples (N-106), Rosedale (N-5148), S. Holten Farm (N-107), Cochran Farm (N-5149), Summerton (N-112), Rumsey Farm (N-113), Cochran's Grange (N-117), Hedgelawn (N-118), Fields Heirs (N-105), R.G. Hayes House (N-5153), Armstrong-Walker House (N-5146), Achmester Farm (N-3930), Weston (N-121), J.P. Lynch Farm (N-5238), Eliason House (N-413), and the S.H. Rothwell Farm (N-5191). The greatest period of significance for all the resources is the mid-nineteenth century, and several of the properties retain important surviving agricultural outbuildings (Figures 7-8, 10-14, 19-29). Together, they constitute a significant group of resources linked by their shared common agricultural environment; along with other previously listed or potentially eligible structures, they could form an integral part of a rural agricultural historic district.

The historic woodlot near Mount Pleasant could also be considered a significant part of this thematic nomination as it relates to the remaking of the agricultural landscape in the nineteenth century and is a rare surviving example of a manmade woodlot and wet meadow. Many of the properties included in this theme also possess historic planting in the yards that reach almost to the road. These are considered elements of the historic landscape and setting for the farmsteads and are part of what makes them potentially eligible for the National Register. Every effort should be made to avoid disturbing these plantings; where it becomes necessary to remove or destroy them, they should be documented thoroughly beforehand.

The Cochran Farm (N-5149) on Old School House Road requires further intensive investigation; it appears to be abandoned at the present time and its current condition cannot be determined from the road. It is probably eligible for nomination under the Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred and most likely under Dwellings of the Rural Elite as well. The property is definitely a contributing element to the agricultural environment and landscape of the two thematic nominations.

Rural Family Development. One of the phenomena once common to the agricultural landscape of central Delaware was the construction of multiple farm complexes in close proximity to one another by members of the same family. Kinship ties were particularly important to these farmers and their dwellings often reflect the close interaction of multiple generations. For instance, four of the farms on Route 301 between Middletown and the Maryland state line were all built by members of the Cochran family--Hedgelawn, Cochran's Grange, Summerton, and Rumsey Farm (Figures 11-14). Another example within the general study area, although not directly impacted by the proposed corridor alternatives is the Claytons Corner area where four farms were constructed by the Clayton family in the nineteenth century. A third example is two dwellings threatened by the South Reconstruction alternative (U-126 and U-212). Located on Route 896, the two dwellings once stood on either side of a third dwelling; the center dwelling belonged to a father who built the later two dwellings for his sons. The two surviving dwellings present mirror images of each other when viewed from the location of the father's house (Figures 30 and 31).



Figure 19: J: Kanely Farm (N-5226), located on the south side of Route 301, west of Warwick Road.



Figure 20: B.F. Hansen Farm (N-5225), located on the south side of Route 301, east of Warwick Road.



Figure 21: A. Crockett Farm (N-5224), located on the south side of Route 301, west of Middle Neck Road.




Figure 22: C. Polk Estate (N-5221), located on the north side of Route 301, east of Middle Neck Road.



Figure 23: The Maples (N-106), located on the north side of Bunker Hill Road, east of Choptank Road.



Figure 24: Rosedale (N-5148), located west of Choptank Road on the north side of Bunker Hill Road.



Figure 25: S. Holten Farm (N-107), located on the east side of Choptank Road between Sharp Lane and Bohemia Mill Road.



Figure 26: Armstrong-Walker House (N-5146), located on the west side of Route 896/301, south of Armstrong Corner Road.



Figure 27: Weston (N-121), located on the east side of Route 896, south of Old School House Road.



Figure 28: P.J. Lynch Farm (N-5238), located on the south side of Churchtown Road, west of Mount Pleasant.



Figure 29: S.H. Rothwell Farm (N-5191), located on the northeast side of Old Summit Bridge Road, north of Route 896.



Figure 30: Matlack Farm # 1 (U-126), located on the west side of Route 896/301, between Old School House Road and Armstrong Corner Road.



Figure 31: Matlack Farm # 2 (U-212), located on the west side of Route 896/301, between Old School House Road and Armstrong Corner Road, north of U-126.

Additional instances of these family complexes survive in other parts of the state and would make an excellent thematic nomination taken as a group. The resources discussed above presently retain much of the integrity of the agricultural landscape that linked them together; this element is often missing in other examples. It is important that every attempt be made to retain the visual integrity of this landscape.

1880-1940 +/-: Urbanization and Early Suburbanization

In this period the entire Upper Peninsula Zone back country contained an even distribution of farm complexes that by 1880 had fallen to their 1850 values. The greatest architectural growth occurred around the edges of towns in the form of extended residential neighborhoods in an early suburban settlement pattern. The architectural character of the previous period continued to dominate in rural areas.

While new industries in the region provided employment and the region was no longer so completely dependent upon farming for its economy, the majority of land was still used for agriculture. The drop in land values caused many farm families to reorient to a less profitable (but financially less risky), diversified agricultural pattern stressing the cultivation of cereals, truck crops, and dairy products. The advent of the automobile and accompanying road improvements intensified the markets for truck farming, enabling many farmers to carry their own goods to street markets in Wilmington and Philadelphia, bypassing commission merchants. Rural social movements, such as the Grange, grew to meet the needs of the rural populace. The Depression years of the 1890s and 1930s undermined local landholding patterns, resulting in the diversification of land ownership and the reallocation of property. Proprietors of twenty or more farms in the 1860s found themselves reduced to five or six properties or completely dispossessed. During this period the agricultural economy continued its trend toward greater commercialization. Large canning companies purchased extensive tracts of land and contracted for the produce of owner-occupied farms. These large companies were able to bring in the most up-to-date machinery, effectively decreasing their costs in manpower and making it very difficult for the small independent farmers to compete. Many of these small farmers turned instead to producing fresh vegetables and fruit for local markets.

Early Twentieth Century Rural Residential and Commercial Architecture in Delaware, 1880-1950 +/-.⁶ Throughout the study area there are a number of previously unsurveyed early twentieth century residential resources. All are associated with the theme of Early Twentieth Century Rural Residential and Commercial Architecture. This particular context is one that until recently had not received much attention or recognition, in part because many of the resources have only recently become old enough to be considered for nomination to the National Register. While many of these resources are

⁶See Susan M. Chase, David Ames, and Rebecca Siders, *Suburbanization in the Vicinity of Wilmington, Delaware, 1880-1950 +/-: A Historic Context*, Newark, Delaware: Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1992; Susan Chase et al, *Adaptations of Rural Bungalows in the Lower Peninsula Zone of Delaware, 1880-1940 +/-: A National Register Nomination*, Newark, Delaware: Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1990.

potentially eligible for listing in terms of age, integrity, and significance, it would be impractical to attempt to nominate the thousands of dwellings involved. A recent report produced for the Delaware State Historic Preservation Office describes the range of architectural styles built in the suburbs of northern New Castle County. The report recommends taking a representative approach to the listing of such resources—only the best examples of particular styles and periods or those with significance due to other themes would be nominated to the National Register. The problem we face at this point is that there has not been enough research and survey completed on these sorts of resources to allow us to make quick determinations about which of the resources in this study area are of particular significance. It is the recommendation of this report that any of the resources related to this theme that will be impacted by the final corridor alternative should be documented in detail to preserve the information for future study of this property type. Resources included in this context include two commercial buildings (N-5143 and U-313), a bungalow (U-130), two dwellings north of I-95 (U-302 and U-41), the complex of early twentieth century dwellings at Mount Pleasant (N-12019, N-12020, N-5235, and N-5236), two bungalows south of Glasgow (U-267 and U-268), the dwelling located near the road in front of the Hermitage (U-272), six dwellings on Route 896 near its intersection with Old Baltimore Pike (U-304, U-305, U-306, U-307, U-309, U-310), a dwelling on Old Cooch's Bridge Road (U-308), two dwellings on Route 40 (U-314 and N-6203), and a dwelling located off of Route 72 (U-158) (Figures 32-39). All of these buildings require closer examination to determine their physical condition and history in order to preserve the information for further development of the context on Early Twentieth Century Rural Architecture and to determine whether these particular resources would be good choices for nomination to the National Register.

Early Twentieth Century Farm Planning. As agricultural practices changed in the early decades of the twentieth century, Delaware's farmscapes also began to change. Many of these changes resulted from the introduction of engine-driven tractors and trucks, the electrification of rural areas, the growing importance of hygienic standards (especially for dairying), the introduction of large-scale poultry farming, and the geographic expansion of truck farming. Older buildings, especially those built to house draft animals, became obsolete and were either recycled to other purposes or pulled down. At the same time, farmers erected new types and styles of farm structures such as dairy barns and chicken houses. In particular, the issues of health and hygiene on the farm became critical during the early twentieth century and prompted a shift in dairy barn design. Even the comparatively recent farm architecture of the first half of the twentieth century is disappearing with astonishing rapidity as new housing developments and industrial ventures continue to encroach upon arable land throughout the state. A thematic nomination dealing with farm planning, and dairy farms in particular, would document the way agricultural changes reorganized the Delaware landscape. Resources related to this context that would be impacted by the proposed corridor alternatives include U-293, U-291A, U-130, N-5242, and N-3981 (Figure 40). The first four properties are all located on Routes 896 and 301 south of Mount Pleasant and would be impacted by



Figure 32: Bungalow (N-12019), located on the south side of Churchtown Road, west of Route 896/301.



Figure 33: Bungalow (N-5236), located on the north side of Churchtown Road, west of Route 896/301.



Figure 34: Dwelling (N-5235), located on the north side of Churchtown Road, west of Route 896/301.




Figure 35: School/Dwelling (N-12020), located on the north side of Churchtown Road, west of Route 896/301.



Figure 36: Dwelling (U-267), located on the north side of Old County Road, west of old Route 896.



Figure 37: Dwelling (U-268), located on the north side of Old County Road, west of old Route 896.




Figure 38: 20th C. Dwelling at the Hermitage (U-272), located on the north side of Route 40, east of Route 896.



Figure 39: Dwelling (N-6203), located on the north side of Route 40, between Route 896 and Route 72.



Figure 40: Farm Complex (N-3981), located on the south side of Route 40, east of Route 896.

the South Reconstruction and South Modified Reconstruction alternatives. In each case the impact would damage the integrity of the agricultural setting and most likely affect the buildings themselves. In each case we would recommend thorough documentation. N-3981 is located on the south side of Route 40 and would be impacted by all five of the northern alternatives.

Resources Related to African-Americans in Delaware. The Delaware Plan and subsequent reports and funding priorities generated by and for the Delaware State Historic Preservation Office have clearly stated the need to begin a comprehensive program to identify and nominate resources related to the African-American experience in Delaware. Due to the history of this group of people, and the fact that historically they have been poor and often landless, architectural resources that can be tied specifically to them are rare. The state has placed a high priority on the documentation and preservation of any resources identified as being related to this group. Only one resource in the study area has been definitely linked at the present time to the context of African-Americans in Delaware: the school house on Route 896 south of Mount Pleasant (U-273). Although local tradition seems to hold that the school building has been moved from its original location, any structure that can be linked to this context must have every attempt made at preserving the standing structure and documenting its history and current condition (Figure 41). Three other resources located on Old Baltimore Pike (N-11167, N-11168, N-11169) may be related to this context as well but will require further documentary investigation to prove the connection (Figures 42-44).




Figure 41: African-American School (U-273), located on the west side of Route 896/301, south of Old School House Road.



Figure 42: Dwelling (N-11167), located on the south side of Old Baltimore Pike, between Salem Church Road and Route 72.



Figure 43: Dwelling (N-11168), located on the south side of Old Baltimore Pike, between Salem Church Road and Route 72.



Figure 44: Dwelling (N-11169), located on the south side of Old Baltimore Pike,
between Salem Church Road and Route 72.

III. Results of the Survey

The results of the reconnaissance survey are broken into two major sections: one for the area south of the C & D Canal, and another for the alternatives north of the canal. Each section will be addressed in a brief general summary followed by tables listing the historic standing resources potentially impacted by the individual corridor alternatives.⁷

There are a total of 88 historic standing structures impacted by the currently proposed corridor alternatives; 20 are already listed on or are officially determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, 64 are potentially eligible for nomination, and 4 resources are impacted but do not retain sufficient architectural integrity for nomination. Of the 64 that would require Determination of Eligibility forms, 33 are situated south of the C & D Canal: 4 are found in the Ridge alternative, 30 on the South Reconstruction alternative, and 20 are located on the South Modified Reconstruction alternative. The remaining 31 are found on the north side of the canal: 19 are on the North Reconstruction alternative, 14 on the North Eastern A1 alternative, 15 on the north Eastern A2 alternative, 16 on the North Eastern C1 alternative, and 16 on the North Eastern C2 alternative.

While the tables list the specific individual resources impacted by each corridor alternative, it is essential to note that most of these resources could be treated as part of a rural historic district; in fact, as indicated on the tables, many can be associated with existing or potential thematic nominations or historic contexts previously discussed in this report. In addition to the survey number, historic name and property type, and period of significance, the tables also indicate the status of the resources in terms of the National Register of Historic Places. "NR" indicates that the resource is already listed on the National Register; "DOE" means that a formal determination of eligibility has been written although the resource has not been officially nominated; "ELIGIBLE" means that the resource is potentially eligible for nomination; "INELIGIBLE" means that the resource lacks sufficient integrity for nomination.

Alternatives South of the Chesapeake & Delaware Canal

South of the Canal, there are three alternatives: the South Ridge, the South Reconstruction, and the South Modified Reconstruction. The impact of the three proposed alternatives can be summarized as follows: the South Ridge alternative will impact 10 resources between the Maryland state line and the C & D Canal; the South Reconstruction alternative will impact 40 resources in the same area; and the South Modified Reconstruction will impact 28 resources (Tables 1-3).

An important consideration in the area south of the canal is the issue of impact on the historic landscape. While the South Ridge alternative impacts fewer actual standing structures than the South

⁷While this report focuses on standing structures, Appendix B also identifies (in table form only) those resources discovered to exist as archaeological sites.

Reconstruction or South Modified Reconstruction, it does far greater violence to the historic agricultural spaces that connect the architectural resources and will have a highly detrimental impact on the agricultural landscape, destroying the visual links between the farms, and permanently altering the integrity of the setting for these resources. Much of the study area located south of the canal and west of Route 301/896 is linked together by the agricultural environment and the kinship ties of the families who built the farms. Whatever final decision is made regarding the proposed Route 301 Corridor, we strongly urge that any highway construction work endeavor to preserve the visual integrity of the agricultural spaces linking these historic resources.

Moving south along the South Reconstruction alternative, there are several sites where every effort should be made to preserve the properties with their historic plantings intact. These include Weston (N-121), the Armstrong-Walker House (N-5146), Cochran's Grange (N-117), Hedgelawn (N-118), and Summerton (N-112). At the Rumsey Farm (N-113), the plantings near the road are more recent and could be removed without affecting the integrity of the site. The four properties west of Summerton (N-5221, N-5224, N-5225, and N-5226) also retain historic plantings that should be protected.

Particularly rare survivals within the study area include the log dwellings at the R.G. Hayes House (N-5153), the Brisbane House (N-6320), and the Fields Heirs House (N-105). All three of these sites should have a high priority for either protection or intensive level documentation. The other rare resource is the African-American school (U-273).

TABLE 1

List of Standing Historic Structures Impacted by the South Ridge Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-105	Fields Heirs Farm Complex	1770-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Log Building Construction
N-106	The Maples (Farm Dwelling)	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-107	S. Holten Farm Complex	1770-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-113	Rumsey Farm Complex	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Rural Family Development; Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-5148	Rosedale (Farm Complex)	1770-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5149	J. Clayton Farm Complex	1770-1880	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite

N-5221	C. Polk Estate (Farm Complex)	1830-1880	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5225	B.F. Hansen Farm Complex	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5226	J. Kanely Farm Complex	1770-1880	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-6320	Mrs. J. Brisbane House	1770-1880	ELIGIBLE--Multiple Resources of Pencader Hundred; Log Building Construction

TABLE 2

**List of Standing Historic Structures Impacted By
The South Reconstruction Alternative**

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-105	Fields Heirs Farm Complex	1770-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Log Building Construction
N-112	Summerton (Farm Complex)	1830-1880	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite; Rural Family Development
N-113	Rumsey Farm Complex	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Rural Family Development; Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-117	Cochran's Grange (Farm Cmplx)	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite; Rural Family Development
N-118	Hedgelawn (Farm Complex)	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite; Rural Family Development
N-121	Weston (Farm Complex)	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-413	A. Eliason Farm Complex	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-3930	Achmester (Farm Complex)	1770-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Log Building Construction

N-5143	Early 20th C. Commercial Bldg	1880-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-5146	Armstrong-Walker House	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5153	R. G. Hayes House	1770-1880	ELIGIBLE--Log Building Construction; Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5191	S.H. Rothwell Farm Complex	1830-1880	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5221	C. Polk Estate (Farm Complex)	1830-1880 Hundred	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5224	A. Crockett Farm Complex	1830-1880 Hundred	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5225	B.F. Hansen Farm Complex	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5226	J. Kanely Farm Complex	1770-1880 Hundred	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5235	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-5236	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-5240	Brady Tenant House #1	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5241	Brady Tenant House #2	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5242	Deetz Farm	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-6320	Mrs. J. Brisbane House	1770-1880	ELIGIBLE--Multiple Resources of Pencader Hundred; Log Building Construction
N-12014	Late 19th C. Dwelling	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agriculture, 1880-1940 +/-
N-12015	Late 19th C. Dwelling	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agriculture, 1880-1940 +/-
N-12016	Late 19th C. Dwelling	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agriculture, 1880-1940 +/-
N-12017	Late 19th C. Dwelling	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agriculture, 1880-1940 +/-
N-12019	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

N-12020	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-126	Matlack Farm Complex #1	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Rural Family Development
U-130	A. Jones Bungalow	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture; 20th C. Farm Planning
U-132	S. H. Rothwell Farm Complex	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
U-202	J. Appleton House	1830-1880	INELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
U-212	Matlack Farm Complex # 2	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Rural Family Development
U-273	African-American School	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--African-American Resources
U-274	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-275	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-291A	Gibson & Derrickson Farm	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-291B	Gibson & Derrickson Ten. Hse	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-293	Cochran Tenant House	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-313	20th C. Commercial Bldg	1880-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

TABLE 3

**List of Standing Historic Structures Impacted By
The South Modified Reconstruction Alternative**

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
no number	Historic woodlot/wet meadow	1830-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-105	Fields Heirs Farm Complex	1770-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Log Building Construction

N-112	Summerton (Farm Complex)	1830-1880	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite; Rural Family Development
N-113	Rumsey Farm Complex	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Rural Family Development; Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-117	Cochran's Grange (Farm Cmplx)	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite; Rural Family Development
N-118	Hedgelawn (Farm Complex)	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite; Rural Family Development
N-121	Weston (Farm Complex)	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-3930	Achmester (Farm Complex)	1770-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Log Building Construction
N-5143	Early 20th C. Commercial Bldg	1880-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-5146	Armstrong-Walker House	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5153	R. G. Hayes House	1770-1880	ELIGIBLE--Log Building Construction; Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5221	C. Polk Estate (Farm Complex)	1830-1880	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5224	A. Crockett Farm Complex	1830-1880	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5225	B.F. Hansen Farm Complex	1830-1880	NR--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5226	J. Kanely Farm Complex	1770-1880	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5238	P. J. Lynch Farm	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5240	Brady Tenant House #1	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5241	Brady Tenant House #2	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred

N-5242	Deetz Farm	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-6320	Mrs. J. Brisbane House	1770-1880	ELIGIBLE--Multiple Resources of Pencader Hundred; Log Building Construction
U-126	Matlack Farm Complex #1	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Rural Family Development
U-130	A. Jones Bungalow	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture; 20th C. Farm Planning
U-212	Matlack Farm Complex # 2	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Rural Family Development
U-273	African-American School	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--African-American Resources
U-291A	Gibson & Derrickson Farm	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-291B	Gibson & Derrickson Ten. Hse	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-293	Cochran Tenant House	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-313	20th C. Commercial Bldg	1880-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

Alternatives North of the Chesapeake & Delaware Canal

North of the canal the impact of the corridor alternatives can be summarized as follows: the North Reconstruction alternative will impact 30 resources between the Canal and I-95; the North Eastern A1 alternative will impact 23 resources; the North Eastern A-2 alternative will impact 24 resources between Route 896 and I-95; the North Eastern C1 alternative will impact 20 resources; and the North Eastern C2 alternative will impact 20 resources (Tables 4-8). Due to the level of development that has occurred in this area over the last decade, much of the original setting for many of the impacted structures has already been altered.

North of Route 40, the impact will fall primarily on early twentieth century rural residential and commercial architecture that has been largely ignored by the Cultural Resource Survey and National Register process until very recently. There are a significant number of resources that are potentially eligible for nomination to the National Register in this part of the study area and while we are not recommending that all of them be considered for nomination, it is crucial that they be documented to preserve the information they can contribute to this newly developing historic context.

Between the Chesapeake & Delaware Canal and Route 40, the impact falls on several additional twentieth-century dwellings and a number of farmsteads that were previously evaluated in the Route 896

study. Again, the twentieth-century sites should be documented to preserve the information for the future development of the historic context on Early Twentieth Century Rural Architecture. The DeShane-Paxon Farm should also have further work done to preserve the information related to the context on Agricultural Tenancy.

The proposed Route 301 Corridor will have a major impact on the cultural resources of the area. Some 88 historic buildings and sites are directly impacted by the proposed corridor alternatives, but many more will suffer the indirect impact of the destruction of an agricultural landscape that has remained largely intact and free of intrusions since the nineteenth century. In this case, particularly south of the Canal, there is no clear choice as to the best alternative. North of the Canal, the alternatives impact roughly the same number of resources. It should also be recognized that in the area north of the Canal there are a large number of resources dating from the 1940-1960 period. If this project does not begin construction for five to ten years, there will be many more resources potentially eligible for nomination within the corridor alternatives.

TABLE 4

List of Standing Historic Structures Impacted by the North Reconstruction Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-190	Cooch's Bridge Historic District	1730-1880	NR--Settlement Patterns & Demographic Change
N-264	Welsh Tract Church	1730-1770	NR--Religion; Settlement Patterns
N-422	New Castle/Frenchtown RR	1830-1940	DOE--Transportation
N-3881	School No. 56	1830-1940	DOE--Education
N-3975	Bellvue (Farm Complex)	1830-1940	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-3981	20th C. Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3986	Williams (C. Boulden) House	1770-1880	DOE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-3988	DeShane-Paxon House	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-3990	Hermitage	1830-1940	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite; Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Early 20th C. Farm Planning

N-3997	Cann Farmstead	1770-1880	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-7649	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-10616	Clarksdale Tenant House No. 2	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
U-41	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-159	Clarksdale Tenant House No. 4	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
U-161	Mrs. Ferris House	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Settlement Patterns & Demographic Changes
U-168	J.L. Veazy Barn	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-267	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-268	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-269	Tenant House	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-270	Clarksdale Tenant House No. 3	1830-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
U-272	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-302	20th c. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-304	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-305	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-306	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-307	20th c. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-308	20th c. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

U-309	20th c. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-310	20th c. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-314	20th c. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

TABLE 5

List of Standing Historic Structures Impacted by the North Eastern A-1 Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
no number	Garden Lane	1880-1940	POSS. ELIGIBLE
N-422	New Castle/Frenchtown RR	1830-1940	DOE--Transportation
N-3881	School No. 56	1830-1940	DOE--Education
N-3975	Bellvue (Farm Complex)	1830-1940	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-3981	20th C. Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3986	Williams (C. Boulden) House	1770-1880	DOE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-3988	DeShane-Paxon House	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-3990	Hermitage	1830-1940	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite; Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3997	Cann Farmstead	1770-1880	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-7649	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-10616	Clarksdale Tenant House No. 2	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-11170	Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-11172	Dwelling	1880-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE

U-42	M. Riebald Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-159	Clarksdale Tenant House No. 4	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
U-161	Mrs. Ferris House	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Settlement Patterns & Demographic Changes
U-168	J.L. Veazy Barn	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-267	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-268	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-269	Tenant House	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-270	Clarksdale Tenant House No. 3	1830-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
U-272	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-314	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

TABLE 6

List of Standing Historic Structures Impacted by the North Eastern A-2 Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
no number	Garden Lane	1880-1940	POSS. ELIGIBLE
N-422	New Castle/Frenchtown RR	1830-1940	DOE--Transportation
N-3881	School No. 56	1830-1940	DOE--Education
N-3975	Bellvue (Farm Complex)	1830-1940	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-3981	20th C. Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3986	Williams (C. Boulden) House	1770-1880	DOE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-3988	DeShane-Paxon House	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy

N-3990	Hermitage	1830-1940	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite; Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3997	Cann Farmstead	1770-1880	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-7649	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-10616	Clarksdale Tenant House No. 2	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-11167	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-11168	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-11169	20th C. Dwelling/Store	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-11177	School	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Education
U-159	Clarksdale Tenant House No. 4	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
U-161	Mrs. Ferris House	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Settlement Patterns & Demographic Changes
U-168	J.L. Veazy Barn	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-267	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-268	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-269	Tenant House	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-270	Clarksdale Tenant House No. 3	1830-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
U-272	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-314	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

TABLE 7**List of Standing Historic Structures Impacted by the North Eastern C-1 Alternative**

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-3975	Bellvue (Farm Complex)	1830-1940	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-3981	20th C. Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3986	Williams (C. Boulden) House	1770-1880	DOE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-3988	DeShane-Paxon House	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-3997	Cann Farmstead	1770-1880	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-5007	J. Van Hickel House	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agriculture
N-6203	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-7649	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-11170	Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-11172	Dwelling	1880-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE
N-11177	School	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Education
U-42	M. Riebald Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-43	M. Ratlen(?) House	1880-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE
U-158	M. Batten Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-168	J.L. Veazy Barn	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-267	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-268	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-269	Tenant House	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning

U-272	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-314	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

TABLE 8**List of Standing Historic Structures Impacted by the North Eastern C-2 Alternative**

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-3975	Bellvue (Farm Complex)	1830-1940	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-3981	20th C. Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture; Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3986	Williams (C. Boulden) House	1770-1880	DOE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-3988	DeShane-Paxon House	1830-1940	INELIGIBLE--Agricultural Tenancy
N-3997	Cann Farmstead	1770-1880	DOE--Dwellings of the Rural Elite
N-5007	J. Van Hickle House	1830-1940	ELIGIBLE--Agriculture
N-6203	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-7649	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-11167	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-11168	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
N-11169	20th C. Dwelling/Store	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-42	M. Riebald Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-43	M. Ratlen(?) House	1880-1940	POSSIBLY ELIGIBLE
U-158	M. Batten Farm Complex	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

U-168	J.L. Veazy Barn	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-267	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-268	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-269	Tenant House	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Farm Planning
U-272	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture
U-314	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	ELIGIBLE--Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

Selected Bibliography

- Ames, David L., Bernard L. Herman, Rebecca J. Siders, and Mary Helen Callahan. *Delaware Comprehensive Historic Preservation Plan*. 2 volumes. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1987.
- Ames, David L. and Rebecca J. Siders. *Priorities for the Development of a Historic Context for the Minority Experience in Delaware*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1991.
- Bowers, Martha H. *Architectural Investigations of the Route 896 Corridor Summit Bridge to SR 4, New Castle County, Delaware*. Dover, DE: Delaware Department of Transportation, 1987.
- Chase, Susan Mulchahey, David L. Ames, and Rebecca J. Siders. *Suburbanization in the Vicinity of Wilmington, Delaware, 1880-1950+/-: A Historic Context*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1992.
- P.A. C. Spero and Company. *Delaware Historic Bridges Survey and Evaluation*. Dover, DE: Delaware Department of Transportation, 1991.
- Guidelines for Architectural and Archaeological Surveys in Delaware*. Dover, DE: Delaware State Historic Preservation Office, 1992.
- Herman, Bernard L. *Architecture and Rural Life in Central Delaware, 1700-1900*. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 1987.
- Herman, Bernard L. and Rebecca J. Siders, eds. *Sussex County, Delaware, Orphans Court Valuations, 1760-1830*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1986.
- Herman, Bernard L. and Rebecca J. Siders, eds. *New Castle County, Delaware, Orphans Court Valuations, 1760-1830*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1985.
- Herman, Bernard L., Rebecca J. Siders, Gabrielle Lanier, and Max Van Balgooy. *National Register of Historic Places Thematic Nomination: Dwellings of the Rural Elite in Central Delaware, 1770-1830+/-*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1989.
- Herman, Bernard L. and Hubert Jicha III. *National Register of Historic Places District Nomination: The Levels, New Castle County, Delaware*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1987.
- Herman, Bernard L. *National Register of Historic Places Thematic Nomination: The Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred, New Castle County, Delaware*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1986.
- Kellogg, Douglas C. *A Cultural Resources Reconnaissance Planning Study of the Proposed RT 301 Corridor, New Castle County, Delaware*. Dover, DE: Delaware Department of Transportation, 1993.
- Kent County, Delaware, Orphans Court Valuations, 1760-1830*. Dover, DE: Delaware State Museums, 1986.

Lanier, Gabrielle M. and Bernard L. Herman. *A Field Guide to Delaware Architecture*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1992.

McClelland, Linda Flint, J. Timothy Keller, Genevieve P. Keller, and Robert Z. Melnick. *National Register Bulletin 30: How to Identify, Evaluate, and Register Rural Historic Landscapes [draft]*. Washington, DC: National Park Service, Interagency Resources Division.

Mulchahey, Susan, et al. *National Register of Historic Places Thematic Nomination: Adaptations of Rural Bungalows in the Lower Peninsula Zone of Delaware, 1880-1940+/-*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1990.

National Register of Historic Places District Nomination: Cooch's Bridge Historic District, New Castle County, Delaware. 1972.

National Register of Historic Places District Nomination: Middletown Historic District, New Castle County, Delaware. 1977.

National Register of Historic Places District Nomination: Resources of Pencader Hundred, New Castle County, Delaware. 1979.

National Register Bulletin 15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation. Washington, DC: National Park Service, Interagency Resources Division, 1991.

Scharf, J. Thomas. *History of Delaware, 1609-1888*. Philadelphia: L. J. Richards & Co., 1888.

Siders, Rebecca J., et al. *Agricultural Tenancy in Central Delaware*. Newark, DE: University of Delaware, Center for Historic Architecture and Engineering, 1991.

APPENDIX A:

LIST OF ALL SURVEYED RESOURCES

The following appendix itemizes all of the sites that were surveyed either during the windshield survey or the reconnaissance survey conducted between January and June 1992. The list is organized by Cultural Resource Survey (CRS) numbers, both permanent (N-99999) and temporary (U-999).

Whenever possible all columns were completed, but for many sites, particularly those without buildings, complete information could not be obtained. Historic names were obtained from historic maps for any resource thought to date prior to 1881 (Hopkins Atlas). The following descriptions explain the series of codes used to create the appendix.

- * The **USGS Quad** category of this appendix refers to the topographic map quadrangle on which the resource appears.

NE--Newark East (Delaware)
NW--Newark West (Maryland, Pennsylvania, Delaware)
CT--Cecilton (Maryland, Delaware)
STG--St. Georges (Delaware)
MT--Middletown (Delaware)
EL--Elkton (Maryland, Delaware)

- * **DEIS (Draft Environmental Impact Statement) designation** are those categories that describe the type of resource as it is labelled on the draft EIS report map: **H**--historic structure that is already listed on the National Register of Historic Places or that has been officially determined eligible for the Register; **PH**--potentially historic structure that is not listed on the National Register but may be eligible; **PA**--potential archaeological site.

- * **Corridor** refers to the proposed corridor alternatives.

SMRE--South Modified Reconstruction
SRE--South Reconstruction
SRI--South Ridge
NRE--North Reconstruction
NEA1 and **NEA2**--North Eastern A 1 and 2
NEC1 and **NEC2**--North Eastern C 1 and 2

- * Some of the codes used in this appendix relate the building to a specific time period or historic theme as defined by the Delaware Plan. **Time period** refers to one of five chronological periods in Delaware history.

A--1630-1730 +/-

B--1730-1770 +/-

C--1770-1830 +/-

D--1830-1880 +/-

E--1880-1940 +/-

Historic theme is the context through which a resource gains its significance.

1--Agriculture

2--Forestry

3--Trapping/Hunting

4--Mining/Quarrying

5--Fishing/Oystering

6--Manufacturing

7--Retailing/Wholesaling

8--Finance

9--Professional Services

10--Transportation and Communication

11--Settlement Patterns and Demographic Change

12--Architecture, Engineering, and Decorative arts

13--Government

14--Religion

15--Education

16--Community Organizations

17--Occupational Organizations

18--Major Families, Individuals, and Events

- * **Eligibility** refers to the resource's potential for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places. **Eligible** means the resource appears to meet the criteria for significance and integrity established by the National Register. **Possibly eligible** sites are those that appear to meet eligibility requirements, yet need further research. **Demolished** are those buildings previously surveyed that no longer exist. **No bldgs** refers to sites identified through archival research, such as historic maps, that no longer contain standing structures. **IE** resources are those deemed not eligible for the National Register for various reasons. The letter following the IE designation refers to one of the following:

A--Not eligible due to major modifications that result in the loss of integrity to the historic fabric of the structure. This includes replacement materials such as vinyl siding and asphalt shingles, removal of windows and doors and their replacement with unsympathetic materials, changes in the fenestration of the structure, or alterations to the form of the structure by changing roof lines or massing. These changes result in the loss of integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling.

B--Not eligible due to additions or removals to the building that mask the original configuration, massing, or form of the historic structure. The demolition of porches or outbuildings and the erection of garages, wings, additional levels, and the subsequent modification of the historic landscape remove the structure's integrity of design, setting, materials, workmanship, and feeling.

C--Not eligible because the property is not representative or is a poor example of the property type it portrays. This can result from neglect and deterioration, extensive alteration of the historic landscape (such as an agricultural building surrounded by twentieth-century suburban sprawl), the relocation of the structure, or the elimination of the link between the structure and its historic theme. In this scenario, the structure loses its integrity of location, design, workmanship, setting, feeling, and association.

APPENDIX A:

LIST OF ALL SURVEYED RESOURCES

CRS NO.	PROPERTY TYPE	USGS QUAD	TIME PERIOD	HISTORIC THEME	HISTORIC NAME	CORRIDOR	DEIS DESIG.	ELIGIBILITY
	WOODLOT	STG	D,E	1		SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
	GARDEN LANE COMPLEX	NE	E	11,12		NEA1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
D101-66	BOUNDARY MARKER	CT	B	11				ELIGIBLE
N103	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	M. DAVIS			IE-A
N105	AG COMPLEX	MT	C,D	1	FIELDS HEIRS	SRI,SRE,SMRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N106	DWELLING	MT	D	12	THE MAPLES	SRI	H	ELIGIBLE
N107	AG COMPLEX	MT	C,D	1	HOLTEN	SRI	H	ELIGIBLE
N109	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	T. CLAYTON			ELIGIBLE
N112	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	SUMMERTON	SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N113	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	RUMSEY	SRI,SRE,SMRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N117	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	COCHRAN GRNG.	SRE,SMRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N118	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	HEDGELAWN	SRE,SMRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N121	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	WESTON	SRE,SMRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N141	DWELLING	STG	D	1	MT. VERNON PL.			DEMOLISHED
N188	AG COMPLEX	MT	C,D	1	GREENLAWN			DEMOLISHED
N190	COOCH'S	NE	B,C	1,6,11,12		NRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N1087	BRIDGE							
N3659	HISTORIC DISTRICT							
N1469								
N4290								
N4288								
N1470								
N190								
N1468								
N11176								
N264	CHURCH	NW	B	14	WELSH TRACT	NRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N413	AG COMPLEX	STG	D	1	A. ELIASON	SRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N422	RAILROAD	STG, EL	D	10	NCC & FRNCHTWN	NRE,NEA1 & 2	H	ELIGIBLE
N427	AG COMPLEX	EL	D	1	H. CLAYTON			ELIGIBLE
N576	AG COMPLEX	STG	C	1	LAGRANGE			ELIGIBLE

N1464	DWELLING	NE	C	12	D.H. CULLEN			IE-A, B
N3860	AG COMPLEX	EL	D	1	S. DICKEY			ELIGIBLE
N3875	AIKEN	STG	D	11,12,14				ELIGIBLE
N189	TAVERN							
N870	HISTORIC DISTRICT							
N1067								
N3882								
N3883								
N3885								
N3881	SCHOOL	STG	D	15	SCHOOL NO.56	NRE,NEA1 & 2	H	ELIGIBLE
N3903	AG COMPLEX	STG	D	1		NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC 1 & 2	PA	DEMOLISHED
N3906	BARN	MT	D	1				IE-A, C
N3930	AG COMPLEX	MT	B	1	ACHMESTER	SRE,SMRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N3973		EL						DEMOLISHED
N3974		STG						DEMOLISHED
N3975	AG COMPLEX	STG	E	1	BELLVUE	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	H	ELIGIBLE
N3976	AG COMPLEX	STG	D,E	1	J. BOULDEN	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PA	DEMOLISHED
N3977	AG COMPLEX	STG	D,E	1	R.T. CANN	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PA	DEMOLISHED
N3979	DWELLING	STG	E	1				POSS. ELIGIBLE
N3980	DWELLING	STG	D	1				IE-B
N3981	AG COMPLEX	STG	E	1		NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
N3982	AG COMPLEX	STG	D					DEMOLISHED
N3983		STG						DEMOLISHED
N3986	AG COMPLEX	STG	D	1	BOULDEN-WILLIAM	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	H	ELIGIBLE
N3987	AG COMPLEX	STG						POSS. ELIGIBLE
N3988	AG COMPLEX	STG	D,E	1	DESHANE-PAXON	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PH	POSS. ELIGIBLE
N3990	AG COMPLEX	STG	D,E	1	HERMITAGE	NRE,NEA1 & 2	H	ELIGIBLE
N3991	DWELLING	NE	D	11,12	S. WRIGHT			DEMOLISHED
N3996	DWELLING	NE	D	11,12	W BROOKS			ELIGIBLE
N3997	AG COMPLEX	STG	C,D,E	1	J. CANN	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	H	ELIGIBLE
N4033	CHURCH	NW	D	14	"AFF. CHURCH"			ELIGIBLE
N4035	DWELLING	NW	D					IE-B
N4309	CHURCH	MT	B	14				ELIGIBLE
N5007	DWELLING	STG	D		J. VAN HICKEL	NEC1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5011	AG COMPLEX	STG	D,E	1	R. READ	NEC1 & 2	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5127	DWELLING	MT	D,E		C.G. ASH	SRE,SMRE	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5129	AG COMPLEX	MT	D					IE-A
N5130	AG COMPLEX	MT	D					IE-A
N5133		MT						DEMOLISHED

N5143	COMMERCIAL BLDG	MT	E	7		SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5144	DWELLING	MT	D,E	1	MRS. ARMSTRONG	SRE,SMRE	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5145	DWELLING	MT	D,E	1	MRS. ARMSTRONG	SRE,SMRE	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5146	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	ARMSTRONG-WALK	SRE,SMRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N5147	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	J. W. CALLAHAN	SRI	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5148	AG COMPLEX	MT	C,D	1	ROSEDALE	SRI	H	ELIGIBLE
N5149	AG COMPLEX	MT	C,D,E	1	CLAYTON	SRI	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5150		MT						DEMOLISHED
N5151	DWELLING	STG	D	1	S. BURNHAM	SRI	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5152	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	E.B. SELLERS	SRE,SMRE	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5153	DW COMPLEX	MT	D	1,12	R.G. HAYES	SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5182	STORE/DWELL	STG	D	1,7,11	J.E. ELIASON	SRE	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5191	DWELLING	STG	D	1,12	S.H. ROTHWELL	SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5211	AG COMPLEX	MT	E	1				ELIGIBLE
N5216		MT						DEMOLISHED
N5218	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	INDIAN RANGE	SRI	PH/PA	ELIGIBLE
N5219	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	WM. R. COCHRAN	SRE,SMRE	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5220	DW COMPLEX	MT	D	1				POSS. ELIGIBLE
N5221	AG COMPLEX	CT	D	1	C. POLK HSE.	SRI,SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5224	AG COMPLEX	CT	D		A. CROCKETT	SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5225	AG COMPLEX	CT	D	1	B.F. HANSON	SRI,SRE,SMRE	H	ELIGIBLE
N5226	AG COMPLEX	CT	C,D	1	J. KANELY	SRI,SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5229	AG COMPLEX	CT	E					POSS. ELIGIBLE
N5231	DWELLING	CT	D	1				ELIGIBLE
N5232		CT						DEMOLISHED
N5233	AG COMPLEX	CT	D	1				ELIGIBLE
N5234	DWELLING	STG	D	11,12				IE-A
N5235	DWELLING	STG	D,E	11,12		SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5236	DWELLING	STG	D,E	11,12		SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5237		STG				SMRE	PA	DEMOLISHED
N5238	AG COMPLEX	STG	D	1	P.J. LYNCH	SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5239	AG COMPLEX	STG	E	1				IE-C
N5240	DWELLING	STG	D,E	1,11,12	S. BRADY	SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5241	DWELLING	STG	D	1,11,12	S. BRADY	SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5242	AG COMPLEX	STG	D,E	1	DEETZ	SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N5243	DWELLING	MT	D	1	J. CLAYTON			ELIGIBLE
N5913	AG COMPLEX	MT	C	1	J. ROBERTS			ELIGIBLE
N5915	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	I. GIBBS			ELIGIBLE
N5928	AG COMPLEX	MT	C,D	1	R.T. LOCKWOOD			ELIGIBLE

N5934	AG COMPLEX	MT	E	1	H.W. PHARO			ELIGIBLE
N6190	DWELLING	STG	D	1,10	S.C. BIGGS			ELIGIBLE
N6191	DWELLING	STG	C	1,12	J.W. KANE			ELIGIBLE
N6203	DWELLING/SHOP	STG	E	6,12		NEC1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
N6205	DWELLING	NW	D	1	J. RORICK			ELIGIBLE
N6318	EARTHWORKS	EL					PA	ELIGIBLE
N6320	DWELLING	STG	C,D		MRS. J. BRISBANE	SRI,SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N6341		STG						DEMOLISHED
N6342		STG						DEMOLISHED
N7649	DWELLING	STG	D	11,12	C.B. ELLISON	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
N10616	DWELLING	STG	D	1	CLARKSDALE # 2	NRE,NEA1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
N10914	DWELLING	NW			A. SIMPSON			POSS. ELIGIBLE
N11167	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NEA2,NEC2	PH/PA	ELIGIBLE
N11168	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NEA2,NEC2	PH/PA	ELIGIBLE
N11169	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NEA2,NEC2	PH/PA	ELIGIBLE
N11170	DW COMPLEX	NE	E	11,12		NEA1,NEC1	PH/PA	ELIGIBLE
N11171	AG COMPLEX	NE	D	1	S. COMLY			POSS. ELIGIBLE
N11172	DWELLING	NE	D	1		NEA1,NEC1	PH/PA	ELIGIBLE
N11177	SCHOOL	NE	E	15		NEA2,NEC1	PH	ELIGIBLE
N12014	DWELLING	STG	E	10,11		SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N12015	DW COMPLEX	STG	E	11,12		SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N12016	DWELLING	STG	E	10,11		SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N12017	DWELLING	STG	E	11,12		SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N12018	DWELLING	STG	E	11,12		SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N12019	DWELLING	STG	E	11,12		SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N12020	DWELLING/SCHOOL	STG	E	11,12,15		SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
N12612	BRIDGE	NW	E	10	WELSH TRACT RD.			IE-C
N12616	BRIDGE	NE	E	10	RD. 408			IE-A
N12622	BRIDGE	STG	E	10	RD. 408			IE-C
N12623	BRIDGE	STG	E	10	RD. 408			IE-C
N12625	BRIDGE	STG	E	10	SR. 896			IE-A, C
N12626	BRIDGE	STG	E	10	US 40			IE-A
N12659	BRIDGE	EL	E	10	CHOPTANK RD.			IE-A
U6	FACTORY	MT	D,E	6	PARVIS & BIGGS	SRE,SMRE	PA	ELIGIBLE
U8	DWELLING	EL	E	10,11	HORSY JOHNS			IE-B
U19		STG						NO BLDGS
U21		NW						NO BLDGS
U25		NE						NO BLDGS
U27		NE						NO BLDGS

U28		NE						NO BLDGS
U29		NE						NO BLDGS
U37	AG COMPLEX	NE	D	1	C.A. MORRIS			ELIGIBLE
U41	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
U42	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12	M. RIEBALD	NEA1,NEC1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
U43	DWELLING	NE	D	1	M. BATTEN	NEC1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
U46		NE						NO BLDGS
U47	DWELLING	NE	D	1	J. CURRINDER			IE-B
U60		STG						NO BLDGS
U61		NW						NO BLDGS
U63		NW						NO BLDGS
U64		NW						NO BLDGS
U73	DWELLING	NW	D	1	W. BARTLEY			IE-C
U74		NW						NO BLDGS
U75		NW						NO BLDGS
U76		NW						NO BLDGS
U77		NW						NO BLDGS
U78		NW						NO BLDGS
U79	AG COMPLEX	NW	E	1	J. HASSATE			ELIGIBLE
U80	STORE	NW	E	11,12				ELIGIBLE
U81	SCHOOL	NW	E	15	DR. GILMAN			ELIGIBLE
U82	DW COMPLEX	NW	E	4	M. KEELEY			ELIGIBLE
U83		NW						NO BLDGS
U84		NW						NO BLDGS
U87	AG COMPLEX	NW	D	1	L.WALTON			ELIGIBLE
U112	DWELLING	EL	D	1	J. DEAN			POSS. ELIGIBLE
U114	AG COMPLEX	CT	D	1	J. CALDWELL	SRI	PA	NO BLDGS
U115		CT						NO BLDGS
U117	AG COMPLEX	CT	C	1	J.P.COCHRAN			ELIGIBLE
U118	AG COMPLEX	CT	D	1	MRS. MCCRONC	SRI	PA	NO BLDGS
U119	AG COMPLEX	EL	E	1	J.P.COCHRAN			IE-B
U122	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	COL. CLAYTON	SRI	PA	NO BLDGS
U123	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	M.E. WALKER	SRI	PA	NO BLDGS
U124	STOREHOUSE	MT	E	7	B. ARMSTRONG	SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U125	STOREHOUSE	MT	E	7	B. ARMSTRONG	SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U126	AG COMPLEX	MT	E	1	MATLACK	SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
U127	AG COMPLEX	MT	D,E	1	A. JONES	NEC1 & 2	PA	NO BLDGS
U128		MT						NO BLDGS
U129	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	S. BRADY			IE-B

U130	DWELLING	MT	E	11,12	A. JONES	SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
U131	DWELLING	STG	D	1	H. CLAYTON			IE-B
U132	AG COMPLEX	STG	D,E	1	S.H. ROTHWELL	SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
U135	AG COMPLEX	STG	D	1	J.L. ELLISON	SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U136	AG COMPLEX	STG	D	1	J.W. LOYD	SRI,SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U137	AG COMPLEX	STG	D	1	W. ROOK	SRI,SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U138		STG						NO BLDGS
U139		STG						NO BLDGS
U142	DWELLING	STG	D,E	1,11,12	MRS. STANTON	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PA	NO BLDGS
U143		STG						NO BLDGS
U144		STG						NO BLDGS
U146	DWELLING	STG	D,E	1,11,12	J. DEAN	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PA	NO BLDGS
U147		STG						NO BLDGS
U148		STG						NO BLDGS
U150		STG						NO BLDGS
U157		STG						NO BLDGS
U158	DW COMPLEX	STG	E	1,12	M. BATTEN	NEC1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
U159	DWELLING	STG	D	1	CLARKSDALE # 4	NRE,NEA1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
U160	DWELLING	STG	D,E	11,12	MRS. WILLIAMS	NRE,NEA1 & 2	PA	NO BLDGS
U161	DWELLING	STG	D,E	11,12	MRS. FERRIS	NRE,NEA1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
U162	AG COMPLEX	STG	D	1	C.B. ELLISON	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PA	NO BLDGS
U163	AG COMPLEX	STG	D	1	G. TOWNSEND	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PA	NO BLDGS
U167		STG						NO BLDGS
U168	BARN	STG	E	1	J.L. VEAZY	NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PH	ELIGIBLE
U194	DWELLING	STG	E	12	DR. G.E. FERRIS			POSS. ELIGIBLE
U195	BARN	STG	D	1	ED JONES			ELIGIBLE
U202	DWELLING	STG	D	12	J. APPLETON	SRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
U205	DWELLING	STG	D	12	S. BRADY	SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U206	DWELLING	STG	D	12	SADLER	SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U210	AG COMPLEX	MT	D	1	A.C. NOWLAND	SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U211	DWELLING	MT	E	12	A.C. NOWLAND	SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U212	AG COMPLEX	MT	E	11,12	MATLACK	SRE,SMRE	PH	ELIGIBLE
U213	SCHOOL	MT	D,E	15	SCHOOL NO. 96	SRI	PA	NO BLDGS
U214	DWELLING	MT	E	11,12	E.B. SELLERS	SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U215	AG COMPLEX	CT	D	1	B.F. HANSEN	SRI,SRE,SMRE	PA	NO BLDGS
U219	AG COMPLEX	CT	D	1	G. REYNOLDS	SRI	PA	NO BLDGS
U220		NE						NO BLDGS
U225	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NEA1,NEC1	PA	NO BLDGS
U237		NE						NO BLDGS

U239	DWELLING	STG	E	1,12			IE-B
U240	DWELLING	STG	E	1,12		SRI,SRE,SMRE	PA ELIGIBLE
U241		STG					NO BLDGS
U266	DWELLING	STG	D	11,12			ELIGIBLE
U267	DWELLING	STG	E	11,12		NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PH ELIGIBLE
U268	DWELLING	STG	E	11,12		NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PH ELIGIBLE
U269	DWELLING	STG	D,E	11,12		NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PH ELIGIBLE
U270	DWELLING	STG	D	1	CLARKSDALE # 3	NRE,NEA1 & 2	PH ELIGIBLE
U272	DWELLING	STG	E	11,12		NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PH ELIGIBLE
U273	SCHOOL	STG	E	15		SRE,SMRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U274	DWELLING	STG	E	11,12		SRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U275	DWELLING	STG	D	11,12		SRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U291A	DWELLING	MT	E	11,12	GIBSON/DERRICKS	SRE,SMRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U291B	DWELLING	MT	E	11,12	GIBSON/DERRICKS	SRE,SMRE	PH/PA ELIGIBLE
U293	DWELLING	MT	E	1	COCHRAN	SRE,SMRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U298	CANNERY	MT	E	6	ARMSTRONG PKG.		ELIGIBLE
U299	AG COMPLEX	CT	D	1			ELIGIBLE
U300	DW COMPLEX	NW	C	1			ELIGIBLE
U301	DWELLING	NW	E	11,12			ELIGIBLE
U302	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U303	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12			IE-B
U304	DWELLING	N	E	11,12		NRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U305	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U306	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U307	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U308	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U309	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U310	DWELLING	NE	E	11,12		NRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U311	DWELLING	NW	E	11,12			ELIGIBLE
U312	BRIDGE	NE	E	10			POSS. ELIGIBLE
U313	COMMERCIAL BLDG	MT	E	11,12		SRE,SMRE	PH ELIGIBLE
U314	DWELLING	STG	E	11,12		NRE,NEA1 & 2,NEC1 & 2	PH ELIGIBLE

APPENDIX B:

LIST OF POTENTIAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES IDENTIFIED BY SURVEY

South Ridge Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-5147	J.W. Callahan Farm	1830-1880	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5151	S. Burnham Farm	1830-1880	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
N-5218	Indian Range (Farm Complex)	1830-1880	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
U-122	Colonel Clayton Farm	1830-1880	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
U-123	M.E. Walker Farm	1830-1880	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
U-136	J.W. Loyd Farm	1830-1880	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
U-213	School No. 96	1840-1940	Education; Settlement Patterns & Demographic Change
U-215	B.F. Hansen Farm	1830-1880	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
U-219	G. Reynolds Farm	1830-1880	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred

South Reconstruction Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-5127	C.G. Ash Tenant House	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
N-5144	Mrs. Armstrong Tenant House	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
N-5145	Mrs. Armstrong Tenant House	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
N-5152	E. B. Sellers Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
N-5182	J.E. Eliason Store & Post Office	1830-1940	Agriculture; Settlement Patterns & Demographic Changes; Retailing & Wholesaling

N-5219	Wm. R. Cochran Farm Complex	1830-1940	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Rural Family Development
U-6	Parvis & Biggs Factory	1880-1940	Manufacturing; Agriculture 1880-1940+/-
U-124	B. Armstrong Storehouse #1	1880-1940	Agriculture; Manufacturing
U-125	B. Armstrong Storehouse #2	1880-1940	Agriculture; Manufacturing
U-135	J.L. Ellison Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-136	J.W. Loyd Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-137	W. Rook Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-205	S. Brady Tenant House	1880-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
U-206	Sadler House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-210	A.C. Nowland Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-211	A.C. Nowland Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-214	E.B. Sellers Farm House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-215	B.F. Hansen Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-240	Dwelling	1880-1940	Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

South Modified Reconstruction Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-5127	C.G. Ash Tenant House	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
N-5144	Mrs. Armstrong Tenant House	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
N-5145	Mrs. Armstrong Tenant House	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
N-5152	E. B. Sellers Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
N-5219	Wm. R. Cochran Farm Complex	1830-1940	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred; Rural Family Development
N-5237	W. H. Houston Farm Complex	1830-1940	Rebuilding of St. Georges Hundred
U-6	Parvis & Biggs Factory	1880-1940	Manufacturing; Agriculture 1880-1940+/-

U-124	B. Armstrong Storehouse #1	1880-1940	Agriculture; Manufacturing
U-125	B. Armstrong Storehouse #2	1880-1940	Agriculture; Manufacturing
U-135	J.L. Ellison Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-136	J.W. Loyd Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-137	W. Rook Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-205	S. Brady Tenant House	1880-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
U-206	Sadler House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-210	A.C. Nowland Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-211	A.C. Nowland Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-214	E.B. Sellers Farm House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-215	B.F. Hansen Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-240	Dwelling	1880-1940	Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

North Reconstruction Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-3903	Farm Complex	1880-1940	Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3976	J. Boulden Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
N-3977	R.T. Cann Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-142	Mrs. Stanton House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-146	J. Dean House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-160	Mrs. Williams House	1830-1940	Settlement Patterns & Demographic Changes
U-162	C.B. Ellison Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
U-163	G. Townsend Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture

North Eastern A-1 Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-3903	Farm Complex	1880-1940	Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3976	J. Boulden Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
N-3977	R.T. Cann Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-142	Mrs. Stanton House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-146	J. Dean House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-160	Mrs. Williams House	1830-1940	Settlement Patterns & Demographic Changes
U-162	C.B. Ellison Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
U-163	G. Townsend Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-225	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

North Eastern A-2 Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-3903	Farm Complex	1880-1940	Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3976	J. Boulden Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
N-3977	R.T. Cann Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-142	Mrs. Stanton House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-146	J. Dean House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-160	Mrs. Williams House	1830-1940	Settlement Patterns & Demographic Changes
U-162	C.B. Ellison Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
U-163	G. Townsend Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture

North Eastern C-1 Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-3903	Farm Complex	1880-1940	Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3976	J. Boulden Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
N-3977	R.T. Cann Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
N-5011	R. Read Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-127	A. Jones Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-142	Mrs. Stanton House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-146	J. Dean House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-162	C.B. Ellison Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
U-163	G. Townsend Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-225	20th C. Dwelling	1880-1940	Early 20th C. Rural Architecture

North Eastern C-2 Alternative

<u>Survey Number</u>	<u>Historic Name/ Property Type</u>	<u>Period of Significance</u>	<u>Related Nominations/Contexts</u>
N-3903	Farm Complex	1880-1940	Early 20th C. Farm Planning
N-3976	J. Boulden Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
N-3977	R.T. Cann Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
N-5011	R. Read Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-127	A. Jones Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-142	Mrs. Stanton House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-146	J. Dean House	1830-1940	Agriculture
U-162	C.B. Ellison Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agricultural Tenancy
U-163	G. Townsend Farm Complex	1830-1940	Agriculture